Available online at <u>www.ijpab.com</u>

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2582-2845.8834

ISSN: 2582 – 2845 *Ind. J. Pure App. Biosci.* (2022) *10*(2), 43-46



Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Open Access Journal

Impact of Frontline Demonstration on Increasing Productivity and Profitability of Rose

M. Shalini^{1*}, Devaraja² and M. R. Ananda³

¹Assistant Professor (Horticulture), Agricultural Technology Information Centre, UAS, GKVK, Bengaluru, Karnataka ²Assistant Professor (Plant Pathology), College of Sericulture, Chintamani, UAS, Bangalore, Karnataka

³Junior Agronomist, Arid Legumes, UAS, GKVK, Bengaluru, Karnataka *Corresponding Author E-mail: shalinigowda1978@gmail.com

Received: 3.12.2021 | Revised: 23.02.2022 | Accepted: 6.03.2022

ABSTRACT

Krishi Vigyana Kendra conducted a frontline demonstration on Integrated crop management in Rose during the year 2017-18 in 10 farmers' holdings of Chikkaballapur district. The crop was grown with integrated crop management practices compared with the farmer's practice. The integrated crop management practices were the application of bioagents (Trichoderma & Pseudomonas) enriched FYM to soil, fertigation, foliar spray of micronutrient mixture, timely pruning techniques, use of yellow sticky cards and use of need based plant protection chemicals to manage thrips and powdery mildew incidence. The results of the demonstration indicated that the demonstration of integrated crop management practices recorded a higher rose yield (127.0 q/ha) compared to farmers' practice (114.0 q/ha). The increase in yield under demonstration over farmer's practice was 11.40 %. The thrips infestation (6.94 %) and powdery mildew incidence (11.45 %) were lower in demonstration and higher net income of Rs.1019250/ha as compared to Rs. 828000/ha with farmer's practice. The higher benefit cost ratio (5.06) was realized in demonstration and lower benefit cost ratio (3.65) was realized in farmer's practice.

Keywords: Rose, Demonstration, Rose yield, Net income, Benefit cost ratio.

INTRODUCTION

Rose (Rosa spp.) belongs to the family of 'Rosaceae' and is one of nature's most beautiful creations and is universally claimed as the 'Queen of Flowers'. Roses are the top ranking cut flowers and are the largest traded flowers in the world and share about 51 % of the world flower market (Rajagopalan, 2000). No other flower is a better symbol of love, adoration, innocence and other virtues than the rose and not in our time only, but so it has been for thousands of years.

Cite this article: Shalini, M., Devaraja & Ananda, M. R. (2022). Impact of Frontline Demonstration on Increasing Productivity and Profitability of Rose, *Ind. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 10(2), 43-46. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2582-2845.8834

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0.

Research Article

Shalini et al.

It is grown in 189 ha in Chikkaballapur district. It has huge demand in nearby Chikkaballapur and Bangalore open markets throughout the year as they are sold as loose flowers for making garlands and decoration in ritual functions. Due to adoption of improper pruning techniques, application of imbalanced nutrients and more pest and disease incidence leads to low yield and income with higher cost of production. Hence, the present frontline demonstration was taken up by Krishi Vigyan Kendra in order to create awareness among the farmers and to demonstrate the impact integrated of crop management practices on increasing the yield and income.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

To combat the problems faced by the farmers in Rose cultivation, Frontline demonstration on Integrated crop management in Rose was conducted by Krishi Vigyana Kendra. Chikkaballapur during the year 2017-18 in 10 farmers' holdings of Chikkaballapur district. Each frontline demonstration was laid out on a 0.2 ha area while adjacent 0.2 ha was considered as a control for comparison (farmer's practice). In the demonstration, the technologies implemented were application of bioagents (Trichoderma & Pseudomonas) enriched FYM to soil, fertigation, foliar spray of micronutrient mixture, timely pruning techniques, use of yellow sticky cards and use of need based plant protection chemicals to manage thrips and powdery mildew incidence. Before initiating the demonstration, the beneficiary farmers were given with training and method demonstrations on various technological interventions to be followed in Rose cultivation and to highlight the critical stages where one can cut down the cost of production. The performance of crop was periodically observed by the scientists of Krishi Vigyan Kendra and advisory recommendations were followed. Field day was also organized to showcase the results and farmers shared their experiences about the integrated crop management practices in the yield. The technological boosting interventions followed in farmers practice and

demonstration is given in Table 1. During harvest, yield data was collected from both the demonstration and farmers practice. At the end, cost of cultivation, net income and cost benefit ratio were worked out.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Growth and Yield Attributes

The performance of rose under demonstration and farmers practice was observed (Table 2). Results indicated that the demonstration of rose variety Charishma with integrated crop management practices recorded higher plant height (92.30 cm) and number of branches per plant (11.00). The lower plant height (87.60 cm) and number of branches per plant (8.20) were recorded in farmers practice.

The thrips infestation (6.94 %) and powdery mildew incidence (11.45 %) was lower in demonstration and higher in farmers practice (13.60 % and 20.02 %). The lower thrips infestation and powdery mildew incidence in demonstration might be due to the adoption of integrated pest management strategies viz., timely pruning, use of yellow sticky traps, need based usage of pesticides. Similar results of reduction in pest incidence due to adoption of integrated pest management practices in brinjal were reported by Govardhan Rao & Mounica (2015) and in Field bean reported by Sangeetha et al. (2018).

Demonstration of integrated crop management practices recorded the higher yield (127.0 q/ha) compared to farmers practise (114.0 q/ha). The per cent increase in the yield of demonstration over farmers practice was 11.40. The yield improvement in the demonstration might be due to the combined effect of adoption of timely pruning methods, integrated nutrient, pest and disease management practices. Similar results have been reported earlier by Mishra et al. (2009), Poonia and Pithia (2011), Sharma et al. (2013) in potato, chickpea and coriander respectively.

Economics

The data on economic indicators indicated that, the higher cost of cultivation (Rs. 250750/ha) was involved in demonstration

Shalini et al.	Ind. J. Pure App. Biosci.	(2022) <i>10</i> (2), 43-46 ISSN: 2582 – 2845
as compared to	Farmers practice (Rs.	management practices were reported by
312000/ha) (Table	2). The front line	Sreelakshmi et al. (2012) and Singh (2017) in
demonstration plots	fetched higher net income	pigeonpea and wheat, respectively.
of Rs. 1019250/ha	a as compared to Rs.	The higher benefit cost ratio (5.06) was
828000/ha with fa	rmers practice. On an	realized in demonstration and lower benefit
average Rs. 191250/	ha as additional income is	cost ratio (3.65) was realized in farmers
attributed to the h	igher yield obtained in	practice. It showed the economic viability of

cost ratio (3.65) was realized in farmers practice. It showed the economic viability of the technology demonstrated through the frontline demonstration.

Sl.No.	Technological interventions	Farmers Practice	Demonstration (Recommended integrated crop
			management practices)
1	Farming situation	Irrigated	Irrigated
2	Variety	Charishma	Charishma
3	Age of the crop	One year old	One year old
4	Use of biofertilizers	Not practiced	Enrichment of FYM with Trichoderma and
			Pseudomonas
5	Fertilizers application	Imbalanced use of inorganic	Recommended INM practices
		fertilizers without soil test	Pit application of FYM @ 10 kg and Recommended
			dose of NPK fertilizers i.e. 10:10:15 g/plant
6	Pruning	Irregular method and depended	Timely pruning and family members itself involved in
		on the labours	pruning
7	Use of yellow sticky traps	Not practiced	Use of yellow sticky traps @ 15 per acre to control
			sucking pests
8	Micronutrient mixture	Not practiced	Application of rose mixture @ 100 gm/ plant after
			pruning
9	Plant protection measures	Spraying of pesticides at	Need based usage of plant protection chemicals
		regular interval	
		without proper dose	

Table 2: Performance of integrated crop management practices on yield and economics of Rose

Sl.No.	Parameter	Farmers Practice	Demonstration (Recommended integrated crop management practices)
1	Plant height (cm)	87.60	92.30
2	No. of branches / plant	8.20	11.00
3	Thrips infestation (%)	13.60	6.94
4	Powdery mildew incidence (%)	20.02	11.45
5	Yield (q/ha)	114.0	127.0
6	% Increase over check	-	11.40
7	Shelf life (days)	2.50	4.90
8	Gross cost (Rs./ha)	312000	250750
9	Gross Return (Rs./ha)	1140000	1270000
10	Net Return (Rs./ha)	828000	1019250
11	B:C Ratio (Rs.)	3.65	5.06

CONCLUSION

demonstration. Similar results of increase in

net income due to adoption of integrated crop

The results of the frontline demonstration indicated that the yield and income of the Rose growers were significantly increased by the integrated crop management practices. The farmers were impressed with the performance

Copyright © March-April, 2022; IJPAB

of improved variety and encouraged the other farmers to adopt the same in large scale.

Acknowledgement:

I would like to express my special thanks of gratitude to co-authors for coordinating in conducting this FLD and the farmers who

Shalini et al.

Ind. J. Pure App. Biosci. (2022) 10(2), 43-46

provided an opportunity to conduct this on their fields.

Funding:

The author(s) received financial support to conduct this FLD from ICAR-Krishi Vigyana Kendra, Chikkaballapur, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore.

Conflict of Interest:

The author declares no conflict of interest.

Author Contribution:

All authors equally contributed.

REFERENCES

- Rajagopalan. (2000). Export potential of Indian floriculture and need of policy environment. *Floriculture Today*, 5(4), 29-33.
- Govardhan Rao, V., & Mounica, D. (2015).
 Innovative frontline demonstrations in tribal areas to enhance brinjal income through integrated pest and disease management east Godavari district-A.P. *Int. J. Engi. Sci. and Innovative Tech.* 4(1), 141-147.
- Sangeetha, M., Shanmugam, P. S., Indhumathi, K., & Vennila, M. A. (2018). Impact of Frontline Demonstrations on Yield and

Economics of Field bean. Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 6(2), 1623-1626.

- Mishra, D. K., Paliwal, D. K., Tailor, R. S., & Deshwal, A. K. (2009). Impact of front line demonstrations on yield enhancement of potato. *In. Res. J. of Ext. Edu.*, 9(3), 26-28.
- Poonia, T. C., & Pithia, M. S. (2011). Impact of front line demonstrations of chickpea in Gujrat. *Legume Res.*, 34(4), 304- 307.
- Sharma, R., Arora, D., Choudhary, P. C., & Porwal, R. (2013). Improvement of productivity of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) through front line demonstrations. *Inter. J. Seed Spices*, 3(1), 68-69.
- Sreelakshmi, C. H., Sameer, K. C. V., & Shivani, D. (2012). Productivity enhancement of pigeonpea through improved production technology. *Madras Agric. J.*, 99(4-6), 248-250.
- Singh, S. B. (2017). Impact of frontline demonstrations on yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) under rainfed condition in Uttarakhand. *Inter. J. of Sci. Environ. and Tech.*, 6(1), 779-786.